

Missouri Rule 1.13 and the Municipal Organization as the Client

City of Kansas City, Missouri
Law Department
Missouri Municipal Attorneys Association
Summer 2023



1

City Attorney: A Noble Profession

Government lawyers must navigate across a sea of conflicting loyalties, ambiguous objectives, and ethical pressures. But as they do so, they include themselves among the ranks of many of the noblest public servants this nation has ever known.



-Randy Lee

2

City Attorney: Something Else

The image of lawyers as backward-looking, ass-covering, wordsmithing, risk-averse, non-value generating, fine-distinction-drawing deal killers, who spend most of their time trying to separate the pepper from the fly poop, and the rest of the time saying "no you can't do that" to their clients, probably has some empirical basis.



- Jeff Lipshaw

3

Identification of the Client

“A lawyer employed or retained by an organization represents the organization acting through its duly authorized constituents.”

Missouri Rule 4-1.13(a)

4

Identification of the Client

Defining precisely the identity of the client and prescribing the resulting obligations of such lawyers may be more difficult in the government context

AND IS A MATTER BEYOND THE SCOPE OF THESE RULES

Model Rule 1.13 Comment 9

5

Identification of the Client

No universal definition of the client of a governmental lawyer is possible.

Restatement 3rd of Law Governing Lawyers, § 97(c)

6

Identification of the Client

An organizational client is a legal entity, but it cannot act except through its officers, directors, employees, shareholders, and other constituents. Officers, directors, employees, and shareholders are the constituents of the corporate organizational client. . . . "Other constituents" as used in this Comment means the positions equivalent to officers, directors, employees, and shareholders held by persons acting for organizational clients that are not corporations.

Missouri Rule 4-1.13, Comment 1

7

Identification of the Client

"A lawyer employed or retained by an organization represents the organization acting through its duly authorized constituents."

Missouri Rule 4-1.13(a)

- Mayor
- City Council
- City Manager
- Appointed Officials
- Boards and Commissions
- Department Directors
- Employees
- The public?

8

The duty remains to
the organization!!

9

Duty to the Organization

Every attorney owes a duty to the client which includes the general requirements of competence, diligence, timeliness, communication and the like.

“When constituents of the organization make decisions for it, the decisions ordinarily must be accepted by the lawyer even if their utility or prudence is doubtful. Decisions concerning policy and operations, including ones entailing serious risk, are not as such in the lawyer’s province.”

Missouri Rule 4-1.13, Comment 3

10

Bad Acts

“If a lawyer for an organization knows that an officer, employee, or other person associated with the organization is engaged in action, intends to act, or refuses to act in a matter related to the representation that is a violation of a legal obligation to the organization, or a violation of law which reasonably might be imputed to the organization, and that is likely to result in substantial injury to the organization, the lawyer shall proceed as is reasonably necessary in the best interest of the organization.”

Missouri Rule 4-1.13(b)

11

Knowledge can be inferred from circumstances, and a lawyer cannot ignore the obvious.

Missouri Rule 4-1.0 (f)

12

Bad Acts

“In determining how to proceed, the lawyer shall give due consideration to the seriousness of the violation and its consequences, the scope and nature of the lawyer’s representation, the responsibility in the organization and the apparent motivation of the person involved, the policies of the organization concerning such matters, and any other relevant considerations.”

Missouri Rule 4-1.13(b), continued

13

Remedies for Bad Acts

- (1) asking for reconsideration of the matter;
- (2) advising that a separate legal opinion on the matter be sought for presentation to appropriate authority in the organization; and
- (3) referring the matter to higher authority in the organization, including, if warranted by the seriousness of the matter, referral to the highest authority that can act on behalf of the organization as determined by applicable law.

Missouri Rule 4-1.13(b), continued

14

So how does it really happen?

A Constituent...

- Refuses to do or avoid doing something unlawful
- Recklessly disregards the potential for risk and liability
- Refuses to make needed decisions
- Makes decisions beyond the scope

15

So how does it really happen?

City Attorney Advice

- That's illegal.
- Your going to violate the law.
- The city is on the hook.
- To the boss: Make 'em stop!

Constituent Response

- It's done that way.
- It's his call.
- You'll have to defend us.
- You're talking to me about this because...?

16

You're talking to me about this because...?

...because you're the top
of this bureaucratic food
chain.

17

Who is the Client and What is the attorney's Obligation?

Ask...

- Is this legal advice related to the representation or business/personal advice?
- Who can make the decision?

18

SCENARIO 1: Who directs the settlement of a claim?

A department director might say "I pay your salary. I pay the claims. I'll tell you when to settle and when not to settle." Not so quick. What department budget picks up the tab for an attorney is not determinative of who makes the decision. The question is, what does the client (organization) want from the lawyer, not what does the representative of the client want from the lawyer. What is the lawyer's role?

19

Do a structural analysis.

- What authority?
- What duties?
- City attorney decisions?
- Relationship to other departments?

20

Structural Analysis

KCMO CODE

- City Attorney
 - up to \$25,000
- Risk Management
 - \$25,000-\$50,000
- City Council
 - over \$50,000

MISSOURI STATUTE

- Prosecute and defend all actions to which the city is a part
- Generally perform all legal services required
- Silent on settlement authority

Note that this does not apply to a Charter City like KCMO - further obscuring the answer.

21

SCENARIO 2: Was attorney client privilege invoked?

During the discovery phase for an employee suit, an organizational attorney was brought in for advice. Non-party employee witnesses who investigated the underlying matter were present. Later, during deposition, they were instructed not to answer questions if the only basis for the answers is to recall the conversations that occurred in front of the attorney. Privilege was challenged.

(1) does the presence of the attorney make the entire conversation privileged (2) did the presence of non-party witnesses effectively waive any potential privilege?

22

SCENARIO 2: Was attorney client privilege invoked?

The court found that “[w]hen the client is an organization, such as a corporation or, as in this case, a township, questions arise as to which employees of the organization are considered “clients” for purposes of the privilege. The answer to that question is that it depends upon the circumstances.”

Since the purpose of the discussion was to help the attorney ascertain relevant facts, attorney client privilege extended to the conversation.

Note that the privilege extends only to the conversation, not to the underlying facts.

Dzierbicki v. Twp. of Oscoda (2009)

23

Lessons from Case Law

- Government attorneys are held to a higher, or at least a different standard.
- Failure to act can be the basis for discipline.
- Overreaction can be the basis for discipline.
- Allowing personal feelings to get in the way of the duty to the organization can be problematic for a city attorney.

24

In re Schuessler, 578 S.W.3d 762 (Mo. 2019)

- The attorney *knew* of a criminal act and potential civil rights violation
- The attorney *knew* the suspect was falsely charged to cover up the assault.
- The attorney failed to report the misconduct and protect the client.

Troubled by the repeated dishonesty and finding that government attorneys are held to a higher standard given the nature of their work to protect the public, it was not a surprise when the court discipline included an indefinite suspension with no leave to file for 3 years.

25

Crandon v. State, 897 P.2d 92 (Kan. 1995)

- Potential wrongdoing within a government agency.
- Role of lawyer as part of the team.
- Role of lawyer is to advise, not to prosecute, the government client and its representatives.

Ms. Crandon's First Amendment, pre-Garcetti, gave her no claim to damages claimed as a result of her termination. As an attorney, Ms. Crandon had an obligation to her client, the Office of the State Bank Commissioner. By taking her investigation outside her office she failed in her obligations.

The Kansas Supreme Court succinctly observed: "The attorney's duty is to advise and counsel, not prosecute her client." The attorneys working for government have a different relationship than other employees. Because of that difference an attorney's conduct is measured by a different standard. It is not so much that the standard is "higher" but that it is different.

26

In re Harding, 223 P.3d 303 (Kan. 2010)

- Small town politics
- Bar complaints and aftermath
- Personal destruction

We are concerned about the harm done, the respondent's disclosure of confidential information, and the damage caused to the reputations of some of the city officials. We may not ignore respondent's angry and selfish response. A minority of the court would impose a greater discipline.

27

Great. So...Who's the Client?

- The People
- The Mayor and Council
- Department Directors and Agency Heads
- The Public Interest
- The Municipal Corporation

28

The Client Problem

The client problem is that a city attorney works for all of the city's elected officials but represents none of them.

- Brigham Smith

29

Clarifying the Lawyer's Role

Missouri Rule 4-1.13(e)

"...[A] lawyer shall explain the identity of the client when the lawyer knows or reasonably should know that the organization's interests are adverse to those of the constituents with whom the lawyer is dealing."

Missouri Rule 4-1.13, Comment 7

In some circumstances, the lawyer should advise the constituent to consider retaining independent representation.

The constituent should be helped to understand that discussions with the lawyer may not be privileged.

30

Why Does It Matter?

- Confidential Information
- Conflicts of Interest
- Waivers
- Attorney Work Product
- Who controls litigation

31

It has been suggested that since “Who’s the boss?” is a different question from “Who’s the client?” that the determination can follow, again, a structural analysis of the government and the issue being confronted. The practical ramifications are navigated every day. Who wants to explain to an elected official that yes, she is your boss, but no, she is not your client. But knowing the client determines the entire character of the City Attorney’s work.

-Brigham Smith, Who’s the Boss: Deciding Who Your Client Is When You’re a City Attorney, 11 T.M. COOLEY J. PRAC. & CLINICAL L. J., 16 (2009).

32

Questions?

Tara Kelly
tara.kelly@kcmo.org

City Attorney’s Office
 City of Kansas City, Mo.
 414 E. 12th Street, 23rd Floor
 Kansas City, MO 64106
 (816)-513-3117
 www.KCMO.gov



33